

UTHEP-233
April, 1992

On the Renormalization of the S Parameter

Sinya Aoki[◇]

Institute of Physics, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305, Japan

ABSTRACT

We calculate the S parameter of the standard model at one loop of fermions, using three different regularizations (dimensional, Pauli-Villars and lattice) and find an extra contribution to the S parameter besides the standard one for each case. This shows that the extra contribution recently reported for the lattice regularization is *not* necessarily tied to the non-decoupling effect of fermion doublers. We argue that the extra contribution should be subtracted in the renormalizable perturbative expansion.

◇ email: aoki@athena.ph.tsukuba.ac.jp

A successful construction of chiral gauge theories on the lattice requires a dynamical decoupling of fermion doublers in the continuum limit. It now seems that in the Wilson-Yukawa formulation¹ fermion doublers are indeed decoupled in the region of strong Wilson-Yukawa coupling². However, in a recent paper³ by Dugan and Randall, it is found that the S parameter⁴ calculated in the Wilson-Yukawa formulation has an extra contribution added to the standard continuum value^{3,4}. It is claimed that this extra contribution arises from fermion doublers, so that a decoupling of doublers does not hold in the Wilson-Yukawa formulation. In this paper, however, we point out that an extra contribution also arises in continuum regularization schemes. Therefore, an extra contribution is *not* necessarily tied to non-decoupling effects of fermion doublers.

The S parameter^{3,4} is defined by

$$S = -16\pi \frac{\partial \Pi_{3Y}(p^2)}{\partial p^2} \Big|_{p^2=0} \quad (1)$$

where $\Pi_{3Y}(p^2)$ is the part of the $(T_L^3 \text{ current}) - (Y \text{ current})$ correlation function proportional to $\delta_{\mu\nu}$. For a quick review, see ref. 3. We consider a one-loop contribution of one fermion doublet to this S parameter in the standard model. At the fermion 1-loop level, for simplicity, we take the doublet Higgs field ϕ as $\phi(x) = g(x) \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ v \end{pmatrix}$ where v is a vacuum expectation value of ϕ and $g^\dagger g = 1$. The Lagrangian for one fermion doublet in the standard model is given by

$$L_F = L_0 + L_{reg} \quad (2)$$

where

$$L_0 = \bar{\psi}(x) \sum_{\mu=1}^4 \gamma^\mu D_\mu \psi(x) + yv \bar{\psi}(x) g^R(x) \cdot g^L(x) \psi(x), \quad (3)$$

$$\begin{aligned} D_\mu &= D_\mu^L P_L + D_\mu^R P_R, & D_\mu^L &= \partial_\mu - \frac{i}{2}(g_2 W_\mu^a \tau^a + g_1 Y_L B_\mu), & D_\mu^R &= \partial_\mu - \frac{i}{2}(g_1 Y_R B_\mu) \\ g^L(x) &= g^\dagger(x) P_L + P_R, & g^R(x) &= P_L + g(x) P_R \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

(some modifications to this short-handed notation should be understood in the case of the lattice regularization). Here the Yukawa coupling y is taken to be equal for the both up and down components of the doublet, W_μ^a is the SU(2) gauge field, B_μ is the hyper-charge

U(1) gauge field, $P_{L,R}$ is the chiral projection, and $Y_{L,R}$ which satisfies $Y_R - Y_L = \tau^3$ is the hyper-charge of the fermion.

The *regulator* part of the Lagrangian is given by

$$L_{reg}^{(dim)} = \bar{\psi} \{ g^R \sum_{\mu=5}^D \gamma^\mu D_\mu g^L \} \psi \quad (5-a)$$

$$L_{reg}^{(PV)} = \sum_i \bar{\psi}_i \{ \gamma \cdot D + (yv + M_i) g^R \cdot g^L \} \psi_i \quad (5-b)$$

$$L_{reg}^{(lat)} = -ar \sum_\mu \bar{\psi} \{ g^R D_\mu^R D_\mu^R g^L \} \psi. \quad (5-c)$$

Here (5-a) is for the dimensional regularization⁵ in D dimensions with the 'tHooft-Veltman definition of γ_5 which satisfies $\{\gamma_5, \gamma_\nu\} = 0$ for $\nu = 1 \sim 4$ and $[\gamma_5, \gamma_\nu] = 0$ for $\nu = 5 \sim D$, (5-b) is for the Pauli-Villars regularization, where Pauli-Villars fields ψ_i are all ghost fields and have integer weight C_i and mass M_i , and (5-c) is for the lattice regularization with the Wilson Yukawa term^{1,6} with a the lattice spacing and r the Wilson parameter which is dimensionless and non-zero.

We have to put the Nambu-Goldstone part of Higgs fields, g , in the above Lagrangian in order to keep gauge invariance for the regularized theory, since the regulator part connects the left-handed fermion to the right-handed fermion like a fermion mass term. In this gauge invariant scheme gauge anomalies appear as Wess-Zumino terms⁷. The gauge invariance allows us to take the unitary gauge ($g = 1$) for our calculation. However, because of the non-linearity of g , this scheme is not manifestly perturbatively renormalizable even for anomaly free theories.

We denote the fermion 1-loop contribution to the S parameter as

$$S^{1-loop} = S_0^{1-loop} + \delta S^{1-loop} \quad (6)$$

where $S_0^{1-loop} = \frac{1}{6\pi}$ is the value for one doublet obtained by the momentum cut-off or the dimensional regularization with anti-commuting γ_5 . It should be noted that these regularizations are problematical: The momentum cut-off is difficult to formulate consistently at higher loops and the anti-commuting γ_5 fails to produce *global* anomalies as well as gauge anomalies. The extra contribution δS^{1-loop} is non-zero for the consistent regularizations given in (5).

In the case of the dimensional regularization⁸, the correlation function for W_μ^3 and B_ν (with the unitary gauge $g(x) = 1$) in the momentum space takes the form;

$$\begin{aligned} \langle W_\mu^3 \cdot B_\nu \rangle(p) &= \delta_{\mu\nu} \frac{g_1 g_2}{16\pi^2} \frac{\text{tr}(\tau^3 Y_R)}{2} \times \\ &\quad \left[m^2 \left\{ \frac{1}{\epsilon} + \ln(4\pi) - \ln\left(\frac{m}{\mu_0}\right)^2 - \int_0^1 dt \ln\left[1 + \frac{p^2}{m^2} t(1-t)\right] \right\} + m^2 + \frac{p^2}{6} \right] \\ &= \delta_{\mu\nu} \frac{g_1 g_2}{16\pi^2} \left[m^2 \left\{ \frac{1}{\epsilon} + \ln(4\pi) - \ln\left(\frac{m}{\mu_0}\right)^2 - \frac{p^2}{6m^2} \right\} + m^2 + \frac{p^2}{6} \right] + O(p^4) \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where $D = 4 - 2\epsilon$, $m = yv$ and μ_0 is some mass scale. From the first terms which are propotional to m^2 we obtain $S_0^{1-loop} = \frac{1}{6\pi}$ and from the last term we find⁸

$$\delta S^{1-loop} = -\frac{1}{6\pi}. \quad (8)$$

For the Pauli-Villars regularization⁸ a similar calculation yields

$$\delta S^{1-loop} = -\frac{1}{6\pi} \sum_i C_i = -\frac{1}{6\pi} \quad (9)$$

which happens to be equal to the value in the case of the dimensional regularization because $\sum_i C_i = 1$. The value of δS^{1-loop} for the lattice regularization was calculated in ref. 3:

$$\delta S^{1-loop} = F(r) \quad (10)$$

where $F(r)$ is a function of r which satisfies

$$F(r) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{for } r \rightarrow 0 \\ 1/8\pi, & \text{for } r \rightarrow \infty \end{cases} . \quad (11)$$

Here we take the $r \rightarrow 0$ limit *after* the $a \rightarrow 0$ limit. See ref. 3 for an explicit form of $F(r)$.

Now it becomes clear that the existence of a non-zero δS does not mean non-decoupling of fermion doublers, since the continuum regularizations such as the dimensional regularization or the Pauli-Villars regularization also produce a non-zero δS . Therefore, the non-zero δS reported in ref. 3 is *not* a sign for the non-decoupling of fermion doublers. So far there is no evidence against the decoupling of doublers in the Wilson-Yukawa formulation.

We note that there is no reason to remove δS^{1-loop} by a counter term in the gauge-invariant regularizations we used above. On the other hand, we *can* add a gauge invariant

counter term of the form $tr[\tau^3 g^\dagger W_{\mu\nu}^a \tau^a g] B^{\mu\nu}$ to the Lagrangian, since the dimension of this operator is 4. By changing the coefficient of this term, we can obtain any value for the S parameter, including the particular value $S_{renormalized}^{1-loop} = \frac{1}{6\pi}$. Thus this regularization scheme can not predict a definite value for S in perturbation theory, and we have to consider the problem non-perturbatively, for example, on the lattice. If the term $tr[\tau^3 g^\dagger W_{\mu\nu}^a \tau^a g] B^{\mu\nu}$ is relevant at the critical point where a continuum limit is taken, we have to add this term to the Lagrangian and tune its coefficient, so that the continuum limit can be defined at the critical point. There is no unique prediction for the value of the S parameter in this case. On the other hand, if this term is irrelevant at the critical point the value of the S parameter should be unique in the continuum limit once all relevant parameters are fixed from experiments.

Next we consider gauge non-invariant regularization schemes which yield a manifestly renormalizable perturbative expansion. The regulator part of the Lagrangian takes the form

$$\begin{aligned}
L_{reg}^{(dim)} &= \bar{\psi} \sum_{\mu=5}^D \gamma^\mu D_\mu \psi \\
L_{reg}^{(PV)} &= \sum_i \bar{\psi}_i (\gamma \cdot D + M_i + yv g^R \cdot g^L) \psi_i . \\
L_{reg}^{(lat)} &= -ar \sum_\mu \bar{\psi} D_\mu^R D_\mu^R \psi
\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Note that the g field is absent in L_{reg} except in the Yukawa coupling term of the Pauli-Villars fields where it is necessary to cancel some divergences. The terms without g break gauge invariance explicitly. Gauge anomalies as well as local gauge non-invariant terms are generated, which have to be removed order by order in perturbative expansion by local counter terms in order to recover gauge(BRST) invariance. This scheme gives a renormalizable perturbative expansion.

At the fermion 1-loop level, we can take the unitary gauge so that the calculation of S is identical to the gauge invariant case. Therefore we obtain $\delta S^{1-loop} = -\frac{1}{6\pi}$ for the dimensional and the Pauli-Villars regularization⁸, and $\delta S^{1-loop} = F(r)$ for the lattice regularization³. However, these δS^{1-loop} are not gauge invariant. We have to remove δS

by a gauge non-invariant counter term $W_{\mu\nu}^3 B^{\mu\nu}$ in order to keep gauge(BRST) invariance of the renormalized theory. Then we obtain $S_{renormalized}^{1-loop} = S_0^{1-loop} = \frac{1}{6\pi}$ independent of the choice of regulators. This procedure also gives $S_{renormalized}^{1-loop} = 0$ in the symmetric phase ($v = 0$) and/or at $y = 0$, see eq. (7). However, it is not clear whether this way of calculation in perturbation theory gives a gauge-fixing independent and regularization independent result for the renormalized S parameter at higher order of loops. It is necessary to prove the uniqueness of the renormalized S parameter in the renormalizable perturbation theory.

Finally we discuss other possible regularizations. So far we implicitly required that the regularization preserves vector gauge invariance such as $SU(3)_{color}$ or $U(1)_{EM}$. We consider the following two types of regularizations which violate this requirement. Since there is no physical Higgs field for $SU(3)_{color}$ and $U(1)_{EM}$, there is no *gauge-invariant* scheme for these types of regularizations.

The first type uses Majorana terms in the regulator Lagrangian⁹:

$$\begin{aligned}
L_{reg}^{(dim)} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mu=5}^D \left(\psi^L C^L \gamma_\mu^L \partial^\mu \psi^L + \bar{\psi}^L \gamma_\mu^R C^R \partial^\mu \bar{\psi}^L + \psi^R C^R \gamma_\mu^R \partial^\mu \psi^R + \bar{\psi}^R \gamma_\mu^L C^L \partial^\mu \bar{\psi}^R \right) \\
L_{reg}^{(PV)} &= \sum_i \{ \bar{\psi}_i (\gamma \cdot D + yv g^R \cdot g^L) \psi_i \\
&\quad + \frac{M_i}{2} (\psi_i^L C^L \psi_i^L - \bar{\psi}_i^L C^L \bar{\psi}_i^L - \psi_i^R C^R \psi_i^R + \bar{\psi}_i^R C^R \bar{\psi}_i^R) \} \\
L_{reg}^{(lat)} &= -\frac{ar}{2} \{ \psi^L C^L \Delta \psi^L - \bar{\psi}^L C^L \Delta \bar{\psi}^L - \psi^R C^R \Delta \psi^R + \bar{\psi}^R C^R \Delta \bar{\psi}^R \}
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Here the charge conjugation matrix C satisfies $C\gamma_\mu C^{-1} = -\gamma_\mu^T$, $C = C^L P_R + C^R P_L$, $\gamma_\mu = \gamma_\mu^L P_L + \gamma_\mu^R P_R$, and $\Delta = \sum_\mu \partial^\mu \partial_\mu$. We find that all these regularizations give $\delta S^{1-loop} = 0$. The fermion propagator in momentum space is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \psi^L \bar{\psi}^R \rangle(p) &= \langle \psi^R \bar{\psi}^L \rangle(p) = \begin{cases} -\frac{m}{p_D^2 + m^2} & (14-a) \\ -\frac{m}{p^2 + m^2 + M_i^2} & (14-b) \\ -\frac{m}{s^2(p) + m^2 + M(p)^2} & (14-c) \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

for the dimensional regularization, the i-th Pauli-Villars field, and the lattice regularization,

respectively, with $M(p) = \frac{r}{a} \sum_{\mu} (1 - \cos p_{\mu})$, $s^2(p) = a^{-2} \sum_{\mu} \sin^2(p_{\mu}a)$ and $p_D^2 = \sum_{\mu=1}^D p_{\mu}^2$. Due to the factor m in the above propagators, the 1-loop contribution to the S parameter has a factor m^2 , therefore $S^{1-loop} = 0$ at $m = 0$. This m^2 factor also makes the momentum integral for $\Pi_{3Y}(p)$ more convergent, so that $\Pi_{3Y}(0) = m^2 \times (\text{logarithmic divergent})$ and $S^{1-loop} = m^2 \times (\text{finite integral})$. Since the finite integral that appears for S^{1-loop} should have a form of $\frac{1}{m^2} \times \text{constant}$ by dimension analysis, only the *infrared* domain of the integral contributes to the S parameter, so that the S parameter in this case can not depend on the form of an *ultra-violate* regularization. We checked that this argument is true in an explicit calculation. It is noted that fermion propagators $\langle \psi^L \bar{\psi}^R \rangle$ and $\langle \psi^R \bar{\psi}^L \rangle$ in the previous regularizations (5) and (12) have extra terms without the explicit m factor. This is the reason why we obtained non-zero δS^{1-loop} for these regularizations.

The second type of regularizations¹⁰ introduces gauge singlet partners χ^R for ψ^L and χ^L for ψ^R ;

$$\begin{aligned}
L_{reg}^{(dim)} &= \sum_{\mu=1}^D \bar{\chi} \gamma_{\mu} \partial^{\mu} \chi + \sum_{\mu=5}^D [\bar{\psi} \gamma_{\mu} \partial^{\mu} \chi + \bar{\chi} \gamma_{\mu} \partial^{\mu} \psi] \\
L_{reg}^{(dim)} &= \sum_i \{ \bar{\psi}_i [\gamma \cdot D + y v g^R \cdot g^L] \psi_i + \bar{\chi}_i \gamma \cdot \partial \chi_i + M_i (\bar{\chi}_i \psi_i + \bar{\psi}_i \chi_i) \}. \\
L_{reg}^{(lat)} &= \bar{\chi} \gamma \cdot \partial \chi - a r \sum_{\mu} (\bar{\psi} \Delta \chi + \bar{\chi} \Delta \psi)
\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

The fermion propagator is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \psi^L \bar{\psi}^R \rangle(p) &= \langle \psi^R \bar{\psi}^L \rangle(p) = -m \times \left\{ \begin{aligned} &\frac{p_4^2}{(p_D^2)^2 + m^2 p_4^2} && (16\text{-a}) \\ &\frac{p^2}{(p^2 + M_i^2)^2 + p^2 m^2} && (16\text{-b}) \\ &\frac{s^2(p)}{(s^2(p) + M(p)^2)^2 + s^2(p) m^2} && (16\text{-c}) \end{aligned} \right. .
\end{aligned}$$

Due to the extra factor m in the propagator, these regularizations also give $\delta S^{1-loop} = 0$. The explicit calculation confirmed this.

Although the two types of regularizations (13) and (15) give $\delta S^{1-loop} = 0$, it is not so clear whether $\delta S^{n-loops} = 0$ for all $n \geq 2$. As pointed out before, it is necessary and important to prove the uniqueness of the renormalized S parameter, imposing gauge (BRST) invariance for the renormalized theory order by order in the perturbative expansion.

I would like to thank Prof. J. Shigemitsu, Prof. L. Randall and Prof. A. Ukawa for useful discussion. I would like to acknowledge the warm hospitality of Department of Physics, University of Rome "La Sapienza", where this work was initiated.

References

1. P.D. Swift, *Phys. Lett.* **B145**(1984)256; J. Smit, *Acta Phys. Polon.* **B17**(1986)531;
S. Aoki, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **60**(1988)2109; *Phys. Rev.* **D38**(1988)618;
K. Funakubo and T. Kashiwa, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **60**(1988)2133.
2. S. Aoki, I-H. Lee, and S.-S. Xue, *BNL Report* **42494** (Feb. 1989); *Phys. Lett.* **B229**(1989)79; W. Bock, A.K. De, K. Jansen, J. Jersak, T. Neuhaus, and J. Smit, *Phys. Lett.* **B232**(1989)436; *Nucl. Phys.* **B344**(1990)207;
S. Aoki, I-H. Lee, J. Shigemitsu, and R.E. Shrock, *Phys. Lett.* **B243**(1990)403;
M. Golterman and D. Petcher, *Phys. Lett.* **B247**(1990)370;
S. Aoki, I-H. Lee, and R.E. Shrock, *Nucl. Phys.* **B355**(1991)383.
3. M.J. Dugan and L. Randall, preprint, MIT-CTP#2050, HUTP-92/A001 (1992).
4. M. Peskin and T. Takeuchi, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **65**(1990)964; B. Holdom and J. Terning, *Phys. Lett.* **B247**(1990)88; M. Golden and L. Randall, *Nucl. Phys.* **B361**(1991)3.
5. G. 't Hooft and M. Veltman, *Nucl. Phys.* **B44**(1972)189.
6. S. Aoki, I-H. Lee, and R.E. Shrock, *Phys. Rev.* **D45**(1992)R13.
7. S. Aoki, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A5**(1990)2607; *Phys. Rev.* **D42**(1990)2806.
8. S. Aoki, *Phys. Lett.* **B247**(1990)357.
9. T. Banks, preprint, RU-91-13;
S. Aoki, preprints, UTHEP-224(1991), UTHEP-230(1992).
10. A. Borrelli, L. Maiani, G. Rossi, R. Sisto and M. Testa, *Phys. Lett.* **B221**(1989)360;
Nucl. Phys. **B333**(1990)335;
Y. Kikukawa, preprint, DPNU-91-48, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* A in press.